

GOVERNMENT OF TAMIL NADU  
FINANCE (PENSION) DEPARTMENT.  
LETTER NO: 52518/PENSION/95-7, DATED: 11/8/1997.

63

FROM

FORT ST. GEORGE,  
CHENNAI 600 009.

Thiru L.S. Ranjan, B.Sc.,  
Joint Secretary to Government.

Sir,

Sub: Pension - Family Pension -  
Stoppage of Family pension to  
children on starting to earn a  
livelihood - Reg.

- Ref: 1) G.O. Ms. No. 387, Finance (Pension)  
dated 30/5/88.  
2) Government Letter No. 136997-A/  
Pension/88-1, dated 1/12/1988.  
3) From the Government of India  
O.M. No. 1(26) F & PW/90-E,  
Department of Pension and  
Pensioners' Welfare dated 18/1/93.  
4) Government Letter No. 23395/  
Pension/93-21, dated 16.8.93.  
5) From the Accountant General  
(Accounts & Entitlements)  
Letter No. PMI/III/6069,  
dated 11/4/97.

I am directed to state that in the G.O. first cited, orders have been issued, based on the orders of Government of India, that Family Pension shall be continued to be paid to a son or unmarried daughter, if he or she starts earning or 25 years of the age, whichever is earlier.

2. In the reference 3rd cited, Government of India have issued orders for continuing Family Pension to sons/daughters upto the age of 25 years removing the restriction of earning livelihood and the said orders took effect from 18/1/93.

3. Following this, in the reference fourth cited, the Government have issued orders that Family Pension payable to son shall be stopped if he attains the age of 25 years and in the case of daughter if she attains the age of 25 years or gets married whichever is earlier. The said orders took effect from the date of issue of the letter and it was ordered that past cases, where payment had already been stopped shall not be re-opened.

4. In the reference 5th cited, the Accountant General has brought to the notice of the Government that in certain cases Family Pension was made during the restrictive period from 1/6/88 to 15/8/93 in respect of employed wards of deceased Government Servant and recovery has been ordered in these cases based on the orders issued in the reference 4th cited. He has therefore suggested that in respect of the cases where Family Pension allowed between 1/6/81 to 15/8/93 during the restrictive period,

NO 145 (9)  
20 1197

Chief of the Local Staff  
RECEIVED  
20 NOV 1997  
Thiruvananthapuram

/2 /

it need not be recovered in view of the restrictive condition revoked in Government Letter No.23395/rension/93-2/ dated 16/8/93.

5. I am directed to state that the Government have carefully examined the suggestion made by you and direct that in respect of the cases where Family rension was already allowed between 1/8/81 to 15/8/93 during the restrictive period, need not be recovered in view of the restrictive condition revoked in Government letter goutyh vited as a special case.

Yours faithfully,  
Sd.

for Joint Secretary to Government.

(R.O.C.No. 6907/97/C4)

P. DIS.No.112/97.

Copy communicated for information.

HIGH COURT, MADRAS.

DATED: 10-9-1997.

KR Subramanya Rao  
Sub Assistant Registrar (A/C) 18/11/97

B.No.145/97 B.1. Dt. 25.11.97.

Copy communicated to all the Judicial Magistrates in this Unit.

Additional District Judge-  
cum-Chief Judl. Magistrate,  
Thanjavur at Kumbakonam.  
25/11/97

DEBATCHED  
DATE 7/11/2022  
[Signature]